arXiv:1203.3429v1 [math.NT] 15 Mar 2012

ON ZAGIER'S CONJECTURE FOR $L(E,2)$: A NUMBER FIELD EXAMPLE

JEFFREY STOPPLE

ABSTRACT. We work out an example, for a CM elliptic curve E defined over a real quadratic field F, of Zagier's conjecture. This relates $L(E,2)$ to values of the elliptic dilogarithm function at a divisor in the Jacobian of E which arises from K-theory.

Introduction. Recall that the classical Euler dilogarithm is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Li_2(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^2} \qquad |z| < 1 \\ &= \int_0^z -\frac{\log(1-t)}{t} dt \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash[1,\infty) \end{aligned}$$

after analytic continuation. The Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm

$$D(z) = \mathrm{Im}(Li_2(z)) + \log|z| \arg(1-z)$$

is well defined independent of path used to continue Li_2 and arg. For a torus $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$, $\Lambda = [\omega_1, \omega_2]$ corresponding to a point τ in $\mathcal{H}$, we have the q-symmetrized, or elliptic, dilogarithm

$$D_q(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} D(zq^n) \quad q = \exp(2\pi i\tau) \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^\times/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda.$$

In their paper on Zagier's Conjecture, Goncharov and Levin prove the following theorem [3, Theorem 1.1] about the value at $s = 2$ of the L-function of an elliptic curve:

Theorem. *Let E be a (modular) elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational divisor $\mathcal{P} = \sum a_i(P_i)$ satisfying*

(a) $$\sum a_i P_i \otimes P_i \otimes P_i = 0 \qquad \text{in } S^3 J(E),$$

For any valuation v of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{P})$, and h_v the corresponding canonical height,

(b) $$\sum a_i h_v(P_i) \cdot P_i = 0 \qquad \text{in } J(E) \otimes \mathbb{R},$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G40 (11G05 11G55 19F27).

as well as a certain third condition (c) at primes where E has split multiplicative reduction. For such a divisor

$$L(E,2) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}^\times} \pi \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{P}).$$

The authors comment

> *"The conditions (a) and (b) were guessed by Zagier several years ago after studying the results of the computer experiments with $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points on some elliptic curves, which he did with H. Cohen."*

As a consequence, they deduce [3, Corollary 1.3]

Corollary. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let us assume that the image of $K_2(E)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ under the regulator map is $L(E,2) \cdot \mathbb{Q}$. (This is part of the Bloch-Beilinson conjecture.) Then for any $\mathbb{Q}$-rational divisor $\mathcal{P}$ on $E(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfying the conditions (a), (b), and (c) above, one has*

$$r \cdot L(E,2) = \pi D_q(\mathcal{P})$$

where r is a rational number, perhaps equal to 0.

They remark

> *"Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3 have analogs for an elliptic curve over any number field. Its formulation is an easy exercise to the reader."*

In [3, §1.3] they work out an example for the elliptic curve given by $y^2 - y = x^3 - x$. Nonetheless, examples in this subject are scarce and the theory is more than a little intimidating. The purpose of this note is to work out an example for a curve over a number field, following the philosophy of [5],

> *"In general, the more concrete one is able to make the [Borel] regulator map, the more explicit the information one is able to extract from it."*

All of the calculations were done with PARI.

Notation. Let F be the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, and $w = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Consider the elliptic curve E defined over F:

$$E: \; y^2 + y = x^3 + w\,x^2 - (93 + 163w)\,x + (669 + 1076w).$$

The discriminant is $-5^3 7^3 = -42875$, and the j-invariant is

$$j(E) = -32604160 - 52756480w = (-128 - 224w)^3.$$

This is equal to $j(\mathcal{O}_K)$, where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35})$. Thus the curve has complex multiplication by the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$. The two embeddings of F into $\mathbb{R}$ give two lattices

$$\Lambda = [1, \tau], \quad 35\tau^2 + 35\tau + 9 = 0, \qquad \Lambda' = [1, \tau'], \quad 7\tau'^2 + 7\tau' + 3 = 0.$$

The fact that $\tau' = 5\tau + 2$ show that E is isogenous to its Galois conjugate, so it is a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve in the sense of [4]. K has class number 2 since the j-invariant is quadratic, while F has class number 1. Note that since E has complex multiplication, it has only additive bad reduction and we can ignore condition (c) in the theorem.

L-function computation. The curve E is in fact the canonical $\mathbb{Q}$-curve (Theorem.11.2.4 of [4]) for this discriminant, which is convenient for calculating values of the L-function. The Hecke character ψ on the Hilbert class field H factors through norms from H to K. The Euler product at $s = 2$ converges too slowly to be of use. So we use the functional equation to convert the value at $s = 2$ to the leading Taylor coefficient at $s = 0$. Since the field is quadratic there is a second order zero at 0. Thus we are computing the value $L(E, 0)^{(2)}$, or up to appropriate powers of π and rational multiples, the value of the 'completed' $\Lambda(E, s)$ at $s = 0$.

Following ideas of Cremona [1] we write the L function as the Mellin transform of a Maass form on $\mathcal{H}^3$, with a Fourier series involving K-Bessel functions. Although K has class number 2, the Maass form is a 'CM'form, so its Fourier coefficients are supported on the principal ideal class. We split the integral at the symmetry point, use the functional equation, and integrate by parts. To get 28 digits of accuracy we computed the Dirichlet series coefficients for primes less than 30,000. The values of $\Lambda(E, s)$ at $s = 0$ require evaluating, for 30,000 different x values,

$$\int_x^\infty K_0(t)/t\, dt.$$

For $x \leq 3$ or $15 \leq x$, we can take an asymptotic expansion for $K_0(t)$ and integrate term by term to get an asymptotic expansion for the function. For $3 < x < 15$, we need to numerically integrate from x to the next integer $\mathrm{ceil}(x)$, and use a table lookup for $\int_{\mathrm{ceil}(x)}^\infty K_0(t)/t\, dt$. Eventually we find

$$L(E, 0)^{(2)} = 691.988413021532912918449975 7.$$

(1)	$[0]P + [1]Q =$	$[12 - w, 32 - 20w]$
(2)	$[1]P + [0]Q =$	$[7 + 9w, 17 + 35w]$
(3)	$[0]P + [2]Q =$	$[-4 - 11w, 11 + 8w]$
(4)	$[1]P + [1]Q =$	$[7 + 2w, -11 + 7w]$
(5)	$[2]P + [0]Q =$	$[3 + 5w, 2 + w]$
(6)	$[1]P + [2]Q =$	$[42 - 26w, -333 + 175w]$
(7)	$[2]P + [1]Q =$	$[2 + 4w, 2 + 5w]$
(8)	$[2]P + [2]Q =$	$[3 + 4w, -4 - w]$
(9)	$[3]P - [1]Q =$	$[1624 - 957w, -75625 + 46340w]$
(10)	$[3]P + [1]Q =$	$[-5w, 24 + 28w]$
(11)	$[4]P + [1]Q =$	$[27 - 26w, -223 + 95w]$
(12)	$[4]P + [2]Q =$	$[46 - 22w, 331 - 205w]$
(13)	$[4]P + [3]Q =$	$[67 + 99w, 957 + 1525w]$
(14)	$[5]P + [4]Q =$	$[250362 - 154726w, -147263008 + 91013545w]$
(15)	$[1]P - [1]Q =$	$[(14 + 24w)/5, ...]$
(16)	$[1]P - [2]Q =$	$[(2527 + 6584w)/3481, ...]$
(17)	$[3]P + [0]Q =$	$[(217 - 31w)/16, ...]$
(18)	$[3]P + [2]Q =$	$[(392 + 529w)/121, ...]$
(19)	$[4]P + [4]Q =$	$[(13627 + 13872w)/3481, ...]$
(20)	$[5]P + [2]Q =$	$[(17367 + 12464w)/3481, ...]$
(21)	$[6]P + [0]Q =$	$[(792753 + 52969w)/222784, ...]$
(22)	$[6]P + [4]Q =$	$[(1700 + 1357w)/605, ...]$

TABLE 1.

Regulator computation. Let $P = [7 + 9w, 17 + 35w]$ and let $Q = [12 - w, 32 - 20w]$. These points seem to generate the free part of the group $E(F)$. The curve has a large number of integral points, (1)-(14) in Table 1. In order to find solutions a_i to the equations (a), (b) in the construction of Goncharov and Levin, one needs a relatively large number of points whose local heights are supported on a relatively small number of primes. We consider also the points (15)-(22) in Table 1. The local nonarchimedean height functions are supported on the primes $2, \sqrt{5}, 7, \pi_{11}$ and π_{59}, where π_{11} and π_{59} are primes above 11 and 59 in $\mathcal{O}_F$.

Since E has rank (at least) 2, it will be convenient to revise our notation for a divisor

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} \left([k] \cdot P + [l] \cdot Q \right),$$

3	7	-8	-5	-10	-7	-8	7
2	-23	-11	-45	-48	18	-181	-33
1	-1	-9	-4	-8	1	-33	3
6	-1	-11	15	-30	1	-10	13
3	5	-12	-17	-13	-1	-45	-7
-2	-1	16	3	-4	-3	37	0
-2	-4	0	14	-14	4	-14	26
-3	-1	18	1	26	7	52	-22
0	0	1	0	-2	0	-1	1
-2	1	0	1	0	-1	1	0
0	0	-1	-2	-1	0	5	-2
0	-1	1	0	0	-3	-2	-1
1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1
0	0	-1	0	1	1	-3	0
0	-2	0	2	0	2	2	0
0	0	-2	-3	-5	-1	-8	0
0	0	0	-6	-9	0	-9	-3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4
0	0	-2	-1	-2	-1	-5	1
0	0	2	-1	-1	1	2	-2
0	0	0	2	3	0	3	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

TABLE 2. 8 divisors supported on the 22 points

where k and l are restricted to the values in Table 1. The condition (a) becomes the four equations

$$\sum a_{k,l} \cdot k^3 = 0, \quad \sum a_{k,l} \cdot k^2 l = 0, \quad \sum a_{k,l} \cdot k l^2 = 0, \quad \sum a_{k,l} \cdot l^3 = 0.$$

Meanwhile condition (b) becomes, for the nonarchimedean heights,

$$\sum a_{k,l} h_v([k]P + [l]Q) \cdot k = 0$$
$$\sum a_{k,l} h_v([k]P + [l]Q) \cdot l = 0,$$

ten more equations as v ranges over the five primes $2, \sqrt{5}, 7, \pi_{11}$ and π_{59}. To compute the height functions, we used [7] and the reference therein, particularly [6]. These equations are defined over $\mathbb{Z}$, so we get integral solutions. Surprisingly, the solution space is 10, not 8 dimensional.

1.7×10^{-100}	-2.9×10^{-105}
3.6572967937643109367960189 61	−4.8610516737170914968581294 62
−3.6572967937643109367960189 61	4.8610516737170914968581294 62
25.6471097102561458141818201 9	1.3878834955766575865008603 40
3.6572967937643109367960189 61	−4.8610516737170914968581294 62
−3.6572967937643109367960189 61	4.8610516737170914968581294 62
35.4152452115962980645077665 7	0.2301607695298462830484372999
29.3044065040204567509778391 5	−3.4731681781404339103572691 21

TABLE 3. Regulators in $\mathbb{R}^2$

In this solution space we next seek integral solutions to the equations over $\mathbb{R}$

$$\sum a_{k,l} h_\infty([k]P + [l]Q) \cdot k = 0$$
$$\sum a_{k,l} h_\infty([k]P + [l]Q) \cdot l = 0,$$

for each of the two archimedean valuations. Since the condition (a) kills the global height, it suffices to find solution for just one infinite prime, and we can use the other one as a check that our calculation is correct. Finding integral solutions to equations given by real (floating point) numbers is tricky. The easiest way seems to be (following Zagier [9]) to use the LLL algorithm. We get an 8 dimensional solution space of integral vectors, see Table 2. Corresponding to column j in Table 2 above is the divisor

$$\mathcal{P}(j) = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l,j}([k]P + [l]Q).$$

For each point $[k]P + [l]Q$ we compute $z_{k,l}$ modulo Λ and $z'_{k,l}$ modulo Λ'. Let $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$, $q' = \exp(2\pi i\tau')$. Then corresponding to column j in Table 2 we compute the vector in $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by:

$$\{\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{P}(j)), \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{P}(j))'\} = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l,j}\{D_q(\exp(2\pi i z_{k,l})/\pi, D_{q'}(\exp(2\pi i z'_{k,l})/\pi\}.$$

Working with 100 digits, (displaying 28), we get the row vectors in Table 3.

Comparison. With 8 regulator vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$, there are, up to sign, 28 choices for a 2×2 determinant $R_{m,n}$ of the rows m and n, of which 13 visibly have determinant equal 0. For the remaining 15 pairs we

get that $R_{m,n}/L(E,0)^{(2)}$ appears to be rational. The pair $(4,7)$ gives

$$-0.06250000000000000000000000268 \approx -\frac{1}{16}$$

while $(2,7)$, $(3,7)$, $(5,7)$, $(6,7)$ all give plus or minus

$$0.25000000000000000000000000107 \approx \frac{1}{4}$$

and $(2,4)$, $(2,8)$, $(3,4)$, $(3,8)$, $(4,5)$, $(4,6)$, $(4,8)$, $(5,8)$, $(6,8)$, and $(7,8)$ all give plus or minus

$$0.18750000000000000000000000080 \approx \frac{3}{16}.$$

The close agreement with a rational number of small denominator serves as confirmation the calculations are correct.

References

[1] J. Cremona and E. Whitley, *Periods of cusp forms and elliptic curves over imaginary quadratic fields*, Math. Comp., **62** (1994), 407-427.

[2] T. Dokchitser, R. de Jeu, and D. Zagier, *Numerical verification of Beilinson's conjecture for K_2 of hyperelliptic curves*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), 339-373.

[3] A. Goncharov and A. Levin, *Zagier's conjecture on $L(E,2)$*, Invent. Math., **132** (1998), 393-432.

[4] B. Gross, Arithmetic on Elliptic Curves with Complex Multiplication, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics **776**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[5] D. Ramakrishnan, *Regulators, algebraic cycles, and values of L-functions*, in Algebraic K theory and algebraic number theory, Contemporary Mathematics **83**, 1987.

[6] J. Silverman, *Computing heights on elliptic curves*, Math. Comp. **51** (1988), 339-358.

[7] ———, Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics **151**, (1994).

[8] J. Stopple, *Stark conjectures for CM elliptic curves over number fields*, J. Number Theory **103** (2003), 163-196.

[9] D. Zagier, *Polylogarithms, Dedekind zeta functions, and the algebraic K-theory of fields*, in Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry (Texel, 1989) Progr. Math. **89**, Birkhäuser Boston, 1991, 391-430.

Mathematics Department, UC Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara CA 93106

E-mail address: stopple@math.ucsb.edu